1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		CTRICT COLIDT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	AI SEAI	ILE
9	SARA JANE BUSH; BLAIR VEAKINS; TOULLA HADJIGEORGIOU; and GEORGE	No. 2:22-cv-947
10	HADJIGEORGIOU,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
11	Plaintiffs,	Removed from Superior Court of the State of
12	V.	Washington, King County No. 22-2-09535-1 SEA
13	THE BOEING COMPANY,	No. 22-2-09333-1 SEA
14	Defendant.	
15	TO: The Clerk, United States District Court	I
16	for the Western District of Washington at S	eattle
17	Defendant The Boeing Company hereby removes this civil case from King County	
18	Superior Court to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, under	
19	28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a), 1441, and 1446. This Court has original jurisdiction, and removal is proper	
20	under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), because the parties are fully diverse and the amount in controversy	
21	exceeds \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a). As a short and plain statement of the grounds for removal,	
22	Boeing states the following:	
23	I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	
24	This lawsuit arises from an alleged event at JFK Airport in New York involving a Boeing	
25	747 aircraft operated by British Airways on November 25, 2019, as Flight 174. Plaintiffs originally	
26	filed a complaint against Boeing in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, case number 2021-	
'	NOTICE OF REMOVAL (No.) –1	Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Phone: +1.206.359.8000 Fax: +1.206.359.9000

L-003160, on March 24, 2021. Ex. A, Compl. \P 1. Boeing moved to dismiss Plaintiffs' Cook County Illinois complaint under the doctrine of *forum non conveniens*. *Id.* at \P 2. The Circuit Court of Cook County granted Boeing's motion and dismissed Plaintiffs' case in favor of refiling in Washington state. *Id.* at \P 6.

Plaintiffs filed their present Complaint on June 23, 2022, in King County Superior Court as Case No. 22-2-09535-1 SEA. A true and correct copy of the Plaintiffs' Complaint is attached as **Exhibit A**. Plaintiffs, who were flight attendants (Sara Jane Bush and Blair Veakins) or passengers (Toulla Hadjigeorgiou and George Hadjigeorgiou) on board Flight 174, alleged they were injured when a "strange, acrid smell" occurred prior to take off. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 23-29. Plaintiffs are citizens and residents of the United Kingdom. *Id.* at ¶¶ 8-11. Plaintiffs assert claims of strict product liability, negligence (design defect), negligence (failure to warn) and negligence. *Id.* at ¶¶ 73-98.

II. GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL

A civil action brought in state court is removable if the district court has original jurisdiction over it. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). As set forth below, this Court has jurisdiction based on diversity, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

A. This Court has diversity jurisdiction.

The district courts have original jurisdiction over all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and there is diversity between the plaintiffs, on the one hand, and the defendants, on the other. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a); *Morris v. Princess Cruises, Inc.*, 236 F.3d 1061, 1067 (9th Cir. 2001) ("Section 1332 requires complete diversity of citizenship; each of the plaintiffs must be a citizen of a different state than each of the defendants."). Both requirements are satisfied here.

The amount-in-controversy requirement is satisfied under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(2)(A)(ii) because Boeing alleges, in light of the injuries and damages Plaintiffs claim, that the amount in

NOTICE OF REMOVAL (No.) –2

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Phone: +1.206.359.8000 Fax: +1.206.359.9000

controversy in this matter exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.¹ When, as here, plaintiffs fail to allege a specific amount in controversy, a defendant need only make a good faith estimate of the amount in controversy. See Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens, 574 U.S. 81, 89 (2014) ("[A] defendant's notice of removal need include only a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold."). Plaintiffs allege that they "suffered, and continue to suffer, short-term and long-term health effects including nausea, confusion, pain, fatigue and exhaustion, balance problems, decreased motor skills, neuropathy as well as numbness and tingling in extremities, joint and muscle pain, tremors, dizziness, vertigo, shortness of breath, problems sleeping, headaches, memory loss, trouble concentrating, cognitive defects, emotional distress, mental anguish, depression, anxiety and aggravation of pre-existing mediation conditions," and that "they have suffered pain, suffering, mental anguish, emotional distress as well as loss of wages and wage-earning capacity in the past and in the future." See, e.g., Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 28-29. They also make claims for "physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of normal life, disfigurement, and economic damages, including...medical expenses, rehabilitative expenses, past and future lost wages, and loss of wage-earning capacity." See, e.g., id. at ¶ 78. Further, as noted above, prior to filing the present complaint Plaintiffs filed a near-identical complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois. Declaration of Todd Rosencrans, ¶ 2. In that Cook County complaint, Plaintiffs sought damages in excess of \$50,000 on each of their four claims in that complaint, totaling in excess of \$200,000. *Id.* at Ex. 1, ¶¶ 68; 72; 79; 89.

Boeing thus alleges in good faith that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. *See, e.g., Curry v. The Boeing Company*, 542 F. Supp. 3d 804, 810 (N.D. Ill. 2021) (amount in controversy met in contaminated cabin air case alleging near-identical injuries and brought by the same counsel

¹ Boeing does not concede that Plaintiffs are entitled to recover more than \$75,000 and denies that they are entitled to recover any damages.

NOTICE OF	REMOVAL
(No.) –3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

for Plaintiffs); Wragge v. The Boeing Company, 532 F. Supp. 3d 616, 624 (N.D. Ill. 2021) (amount 1 2 in controversy met in contaminated cabin air case). There is also complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiffs and Defendant. Plaintiffs 3 are citizens and residents of the United Kingdom. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 8-11. Thus, for diversity 4 5 purposes, Plaintiffs are citizens of the United Kingdom. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(2), 1332(c)(2). Boeing is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Arlington, Virginia. See 6 7 also Compl. ¶ 12. Thus, for diversity purposes, Boeing is a citizen of Delaware and Virginia. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). 8 9 В. Boeing has satisfied the other requirements for removal. Boeing's removal is timely. Plaintiffs served their Complaint on June 22, 2022, and filed 10 11 their Complaint on June 23, 2022, so the 30-day clock on removal has not yet run under 28 U.S.C. 12 § 1446(b). All copies of all papers and pleadings on file with the State court shall be filed concurrently 13 with this notice in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). Pursuant to LCR 101(b)(3), a true and 14 15 correct copy of Plaintiffs' Jury Demand is attached as Exhibit B. 16 The venue for this removed action is proper. This Court is the United States District Court 17 for the district and division embracing the place where the removed action was pending. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). 18 19 Boeing is today serving this Notice of Removal on Plaintiff and will promptly file a copy 20 of this Notice with the Clerk for King County Superior Court in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). 21 22 III. **CONCLUSION** 23 WHEREFORE, Boeing hereby removes this action from King County Superior Court to this Court. 24 25 26

NOTICE OF REMOVAL (No.) –4

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Phone: +1.206.359.8000 Fax: +1.206.359.9000

1	Dated: July 8, 2022	s/ Todd W. Rosencrans s/Christopher M. Ledford
2	²	Fodd W. Rosencrans, WSBA No. 26551 Christopher M. Ledford, WSBA No. 44515
3)	Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
4	†	Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Telephone: +1.206.359.8000
5)	Facsimile: +1.206.359.9000 TRosencrans@perkinscoie.com
6	3	CLedford@perkinscoie.com
7		Kathleen A. Stetsko (pro hac vice forthcoming)
8	5	Perkins Coie LLP 110 North Wacker Drive, Suite 3400
9	9	Chicago, Illinois 60606-1511 Telephone: +1.312.324.8400
10	5	Facsimile: +1.312.324.9400 KStetsko@perkinscoie.com
11		Dan K. Webb
12 13		Joseph L. Motto (pro hac vice forthcoming)
14		Winston & Strawn LLP 35 W. Wacker Dr.
15	5	Chicago, IL 60601 T. (312) 558-5600
16	5	F. (312) 558-5700 DWebb@winston.com
17	·	JMotto@winston.com
18		Sandra A. Edwards (pro hac vice forthcoming)
19		Winston & Strawn LLP 101 California Street
20		35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
21		T. (415) 591-1000 F. (415) 591-1400
22	2	SEdwards@winston.com
23	Attor	neys for Defendant The Boeing Company
24		
25		
26		

NOTICE OF REMOVAL (No.) –5

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Phone: +1.206.359.8000 Fax: +1.206.359.9000